Virtual observation of the Unruh effect

Gabriel Cozzella,[1,*] André G. S. Landulfo,[2,†] George E. A. Matsas,[1,‡] and Daniel A. T. Vanzella[3,§]

[1] *Instituto de Física Teórica, Universidade Estadual Paulista, Rua Dr. Bento Teobaldo Ferraz, 271, 01140-070, São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil*

[2] *Centro de Ciências Naturais e Humanas, Universidade Federal do ABC, Avenida dos Estados, 5001, 09210-580, Santo André, São Paulo, Brazil*

[3] *Instituto de Física de São Carlos, Universidade de São Paulo, Caixa Postal 369, 13560-970, São Carlos, São Paulo, Brazil*

(Dated: January 13, 2017)

The Unruh effect – according to which linearly accelerated observers with proper acceleration $a =$ constant in the (no-particle) vacuum state of inertial observers experience a thermal bath of particles with temperature $T_{\rm U} = a\hbar/(2\pi k_{\rm B} c)$ – has just completed its $40^{\rm th}$ anniversary. A "direct" experimental confirmation of the Unruh effect has been seen with concern because the linear acceleration needed to reach a temperature of 1 K is of order 10^{20} m/s^2. Although the Unruh effect can be rigorously considered as well tested as free quantum field theory itself, it would be satisfying to observe some lab phenomenon which could evidence its existence. Here, we propose a simple experiment reachable under present technology whose result may be directly interpreted in terms of the Unruh thermal bath. Then, instead of waiting for experimentalists to perform the experiment, we use standard classical electrodynamics to anticipate its output and show that it reveals the presence of a thermal bath with temperature $T_{\rm U}$ in the accelerated frame. Unless one is willing to question the validity of classical electrodynamics, this must be seen as a virtual observation of the Unruh effect.

arXiv:1701.03446v1 [gr-qc] 12 Jan 2017

Introduction: In 1976 Bill Unruh unveiled one of the most interesting effects of quantum field theory according to which linearly accelerated observers with proper acceleration $a =$ constant in the Minkowski vacuum (i.e., no-particle state for inertial observers) detect a thermal bath of particles at a temperature [1] (see also note [2])

$$T_{\rm U} = a\hbar/(2\pi k_{\rm B} c). \tag{1}$$

This was the completion of Steve Fulling's discovery that inertial and uniformly accelerated (*Rindler*) observers would extract distinct particle contents from the same field theory [4] and came to clarify Paul Davies' 1975 result [5]. The rather nonintuitive content carried by the Unruh effect (for a curious historical note, see [6]), namely, that inertial observers in Minkowski vacuum would freeze at 0 K while accelerated ones would burn at high enough proper accelerations, was missed at first by many, including Joseph Bisognano and Eyvind Wichmann, who obtained it independently [8] but seemingly did not realize it up to 1982, when Geoffrey Sewell connected their theorem to the Unruh effect [9]. By 1984 (after the publication of Bill Unruh and Bob Wald's Ref. [10]), it should have become clear that the Unruh effect is necessary to keep the consistency of field theory in uniformly accelerated frames and does not require any more experimental confirmation than free quantum field theory does. But sporadic claims that the Unruh effect does not exist or, more often, lacks observational confirmation have motivated the quest for experimental evidences which could settle the issue. This is not easy, however, because the linear acceleration needed to reach a temperature of 1 K is of order 10^{20} m/s^2 (see, e.g., Ref. [11] for a compact recent review). John Bell and Jon Leinaas were the first to go into this by trying to explain the electron depolarization in storage rings in terms of the Unruh effect [12]. They achieved partial success because the Unruh effect is derived for uniformly accelerated observers who are associated with a time-translation symmetry, namely, the boost isometry, rather than for circularly moving observers who cannot be connected to any analogous global time-translation symmetry. Another proposal relied on the decay of accelerated protons [13]. It was shown that Rindler observers need the Unruh effect to understand the decay of uniformly accelerated protons [14]-[15]. Unfortunately (for us – particle physicists may disagree), the proton lifetime in actual accelerators is too long, rendering this observation (on Earth) virtually impossible [16]. Under typical accelerations at the LHC/CERN, the proton lifetime would be $10^{3\times 10^8}$ yr! A more promising strategy consists of seeking for fingerprints of the Unruh effect in the radiation emitted by accelerated charges. Accelerated charges should back react due to radiation emission, quivering accordingly. Such a quivering would be naturally interpreted by Rindler observers as a consequence of the charge interaction with the photons of the Unruh thermal bath [17]-[18]. The scattering of Rindler photons by the charge in the accelerated frame would correspond in the inertial frame to the emission of pairs of correlated photons [19]. The observation of such a signal could be assigned to the existence of the Unruh thermal bath. The difficulty with these proposals lies on the dependence on

*Electronic address: cozzella@ift.unesp.br
†Electronic address: andre.landulfo@ufabc.edu.br
‡Electronic address: matsas@ift.unesp.br
§Electronic address: vanzella@ifsc.usp.br

ultraintense lasers and they have never been realized. It happens, however, that the usual Larmor radiation which does not require paramount accelerations, for it is related to the emission probability of single photons, is already enough to unveil the existence of the Unruh effect as follows [20]: each photon emitted by a uniformly accelerated charge, as described by inertial observers, corresponds to either the emission or absorption of a *zero-energy* Rindler photon to or from the Unruh thermal bath, respectively. Thus, the very observation of the Larmor radiation can be seen as a signal of the Unruh effect. The fact that a quantum effect [note the $\hbar$ in Eq. (1)] may be verified through a classical phenomenon might sound strange at first but there is no reason for preoccupation once one notes that the $\hbar$ in the thermal factor

$$\exp\left[\hbar\omega_R/(k_{\rm B}T_{\rm U})\right] = \exp\left(2\pi\omega_R c/a\right),$$

associated with the Unruh thermal bath of Rindler particles with energy $\hbar\omega_R$, cancels out (see Ref. [21] for a more comprehensive discussion). For some reason, however – perhaps because the reasoning above involves the unfamiliar concept of zero-energy particles or because the calculation required a certain regularization –, this result did not turn out convincing enough to settle the issue and papers disputing the existence of the Unruh effect can still be seen (see, e.g., Ref. [22] and references therein).

In the present paper we suggest a simple laboratory experiment which should be enough to make it clear that *the Unruh effect lives among us*. The idea is to consider a phenomenon as simple and technically feasible as in Ref. [20] and, at the same time, free of unfamiliar concepts and technical subtleties, thus avoiding unnecessary concerns. In order to make our strategy clear, we state the experiment in the uniformly accelerated frame and analyze it assuming we are Rindler observers immersed in a thermal bath of Rindler particles with temperature T. Then, we use our results to guide experimentalists (in inertial laboratories) about what they should seek to allege the observation of the Unruh effect. According to the Unruh effect, T must equal $T_{\rm U}$ given in Eq. (1) but we shall leave T as a free parameter to be *measured* by the inertial experimentalists by fitting the data. However, rather than sitting back and waiting for experimentalists to confirm the prediction $T = T_{\rm U}$, we proceed to a straightforward calculation in the inertial frame, using *standard electrodynamics*, to confirm it by ourselves. This must be seen as a virtual observation of the Unruh effect unless one doubts standard electrodynamics.

We use metric signature $(+,-,-,-)$ and adopt natural units in which $G = c = \hbar = k_{\rm B} = 1$, unless stated otherwise.

The physical problem: The goal posed by Rindler observers will be to calculate the photon emission rate from a circularly moving charge with constant angular velocity as defined by them, assuming that the electromagnetic (radiation) field is in the Minkowski vacuum, $|0_{\rm M}\rangle$, which they perceive as a thermal state due to the Unruh effect. Our Rindler observers will be chosen to be a congruence at the (right) Rindler wedge, i.e., the $z > |t|$ portion of the Minkowski spacetime, where (t, z, r, ϕ) are the usual cylindrical coordinates. By covering the Rindler wedge with (λ, ξ, r, ϕ) coordinates, the line element can be written as

$$ds^2 = e^{2a\xi}\left(d\lambda^2 - d\xi^2\right) - dr^2 - r^2 d\phi^2, \tag{2}$$

where $\lambda, \xi \in (-\infty, \infty)$ are given by

$$t = a^{-1}e^{a\xi}\sinh a\lambda, \quad z = a^{-1}e^{a\xi}\cosh a\lambda, \tag{3}$$

and $a = \text{constant} > 0$. Each Rindler observer will be labeled by constant values of ξ, r, and ϕ with corresponding proper acceleration $ae^{-a\xi}$.

A circularly moving charge q with mass m_q and worldline $\xi = 0$, $r = R$, and $\phi = \Omega\lambda$, with $R, \Omega =$ constants, has 4-velocity components

$$u^\mu = \gamma\left(1, 0, 0, \Omega\right), \quad \gamma = 1/\sqrt{1 - R^2\Omega^2}, \tag{4}$$

giving rise to the electric 4-current

$$j^\mu = \frac{q\,u^\mu}{R\,u^0}\delta(\xi)\delta(\phi - \Omega\lambda)\delta(r - R). \tag{5}$$

Thus, the only free parameters are

$$R, \Omega, \text{ and } a, \tag{6}$$

where a is the proper acceleration of the Rindler observers at the plane $\xi = 0$.

The Lagrangian density of the electromagnetic field A_μ will be written as in Ref. [20]:

$$\mathcal{L} = -\sqrt{-g}\left[(1/4)F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + (2\alpha)^{-1}(\nabla_\mu A^\mu)^2\right] \tag{7}$$

which leads to the following field equations:

$$\nabla_\mu\nabla^\mu A_\nu = 0 \tag{8}$$

in the Feynman gauge, $\alpha = 1$. The four independent solutions $A_\mu^{(\epsilon,m,k_\perp,\omega_R)}$ of Eq. (8) comprise the two physical modes labeled by $\epsilon = 1, 2$ and the pure gauge and nonphysical ones labeled by $\epsilon = 3$ and 4, respectively, with $k_\perp, \omega_R \in [0, +\infty)$, and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ being the remaining quantum numbers.

The physical modes, which must satisfy the Lorenz condition $\nabla^\mu A_\mu = 0$ and not be pure gauge, are

$$A_\mu^{(1,m,k_\perp,\omega_R)} = k_\perp^{-1}\left(\partial_\xi f_{mk_\perp\omega_R}, \partial_\lambda f_{mk_\perp\omega_R}, 0, 0\right), \tag{9}$$

$$A_\mu^{(2,m,k_\perp,\omega_R)} = k_\perp^{-1}\left(0, 0, -mf_{mk_\perp\omega_R}/r, -ir\partial_r f_{mk_\perp\omega_R}\right), \tag{10}$$

where

$$f_{mk_\perp\omega_R} = C_{\omega_R}K_{i\omega_R/a}\left(k_\perp e^{a\xi}/a\right)J_m(k_\perp r)e^{im\phi}e^{-i\omega_R\lambda} \tag{11}$$

satisfies the scalar wave equation

$$\left[e^{-2a\xi}(\partial_\lambda^2 - \partial_\xi^2) - \nabla_\perp^2\right]f_{mk_\perp\omega_R} = 0 \tag{12}$$

with $\nabla_\perp^2 \equiv r^{-1}\partial_r(r\partial_r) + r^{-2}\partial_\phi^2$ and we have chosen $C_{\omega_R} = [\sinh(\pi\omega_R/a)/(2\pi^3 a)]^{1/2}$ to guarantee that Eqs. (9) and (10) are properly Klein-Gordon orthonormalized. (We recall that pure gauge and nonphysical modes can be chosen orthogonal to the physical ones.)

Let us define the electromagnetic field operator as

$$\hat{A}_\mu = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty}\int_0^\infty dk_\perp k_\perp \int_0^\infty d\omega_R \sum_{\epsilon=1}^{4}\left\{\hat{a}^{\rm R}_{(i)}A^{(i)}_\mu + \text{H.c.}\right\}, \tag{13}$$

where we have used the shortcut $(i) \equiv (\epsilon, m, k_\perp, \omega_R)$. The annihilation $\hat{a}^{\rm R}_{(i)}$ and creation $\hat{a}^{\rm R\dagger}_{(i)}$ operators satisfy the usual commutation relations

$$[\hat{a}^{\rm R}_{(i)}, \hat{a}^{\rm R\dagger}_{(i')}] = k_\perp^{-1}\delta_{\epsilon\epsilon'}\delta_{mm'}\delta(k_\perp - k'_\perp)\delta(\omega_R - \omega'_R) \tag{14}$$

and $[\hat{a}^{\rm R}_{(i)}, \hat{a}^{\rm R}_{(i')}] = [\hat{a}^{\rm R\dagger}_{(i)}, \hat{a}^{\rm R\dagger}_{(i')}] = 0$ for physical modes $\epsilon = 1, 2$. The electromagnetic field is coupled to the current through the interaction Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}_{\rm int} = \sqrt{-g}\, j^\mu \hat{A}_\mu$. The current will couple to both physical polarizations. The emission and absorption photon number distribution for fixed m and transverse momentum $k_\perp$, per Rindler observers' proper time interval $\Delta\tau_{\rm R}$, at the tree level, are

$$\frac{1}{k_\perp}\frac{d\Gamma^{\rm R\,em}_{k_\perp m}}{dk_\perp} \equiv \frac{k_\perp^{-1}}{\Delta\tau_{\rm R}}\frac{dN^{\rm R\,em}_{k_\perp m}}{dk_\perp}$$
$$= \sum_{\epsilon=1,2}\int_0^{+\infty} d\omega_R \frac{|\mathcal{A}^{\rm R\,em}_{(i)}|^2}{\Delta\tau_{\rm R}}\left(1 + \frac{1}{e^{\omega_R/T} - 1}\right) \tag{15}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{k_\perp}\frac{d\Gamma^{\rm R\,abs}_{k_\perp m}}{dk_\perp} \equiv \frac{k_\perp^{-1}}{\Delta\tau_R}\frac{dN^{\rm R\,abs}_{k_\perp m}}{dk_\perp}$$
$$= \sum_{\epsilon=1,2}\int_0^{+\infty} d\omega_R \frac{|\mathcal{A}^{\rm R\,abs}_{(i)}|^2}{\Delta\tau_{\rm R}}\frac{1}{e^{\omega_R/T} - 1}, \tag{16}$$

where $|\mathcal{A}^{\rm R\,em}_{(i)}| = |\mathcal{A}^{\rm R\,abs}_{(i)}| = \left|\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}j^\mu\langle 0_{\rm R}|\hat{A}_\mu|i\rangle\right| \propto \delta(\omega_R - m\Omega)$ and the Bose-Einstein thermal factors in Eqs. (15) and (16) are present because of the thermal bath in the accelerated frame. However, instead of setting $T = T_{\rm U} \equiv a/(2\pi)$, as would be enforced by the Unruh effect, here we leave T as a free, independent parameter to be set by fitting the data measured in the inertial laboratory. (Note, from the amplitudes above, that were the charge linearly accelerated, $\Omega = 0$, the current would only interact with zero-energy Rindler photons $\omega_R = 0$ [20].) The corresponding total distribution rate (i.e., emission plus absorption) is computed to be

$$\frac{d\Gamma^{\rm R\,tot}_{k_\perp m}}{dk_\perp} = \frac{q^2 k_\perp}{\pi^2 a}\Theta(m)\left[|K'_{im\Omega/a}(k_\perp/a)|^2|J_m(k_\perp R)|^2 + (R\Omega)^2|K_{im\Omega/a}(k_\perp/a)|^2|J'_m(k_\perp R)|^2\right]$$
$$\times \sinh\left(\frac{\pi m\Omega}{a}\right)\coth\left(\frac{m\Omega}{2T}\right), \tag{17}$$



FIG. 1: Electrons are injected with velocity $\vec{v}$ in a cylinder containing suitable electric, E^z, and magnetic, B^z, fields. Radiation is released near the center from an open window, which is surrounded by electromagnetic detectors lying on a sphere. This allows us to obtain the radiation spectral decomposition from which $dN^{\rm M}_{k_\perp}/dk_\perp$ is calculated.

where we have used Eqs. (5), (9)-(10), and (13) in Eqs. (15) and (16), "$'$" means derivative with respect to the argument and $\Theta(m) \equiv 0, 1/2$, and 1 for $m < 0, m = 0$, and $m > 0$, respectively.

Now, Rindler observers are ready to propose a laboratory experiment to be run by inertial experimentalists and predict its output as a function of the free parameter T. The confirmation of the equality $T = T_{\rm U}$ should be seen as an as-direct-as-possible verification of the Unruh effect by an inertial-lab-based experiment.

The inertial-laboratory experiment: Let us set a pair of homogeneous and constant electric, $E^z = m_q\gamma a/q$, and magnetic, $B^z = -m_q\Omega\gamma/q$, fields defined by the free parameters (6) along the z direction. Then, a charge q is injected with transverse and longitudinal velocity components, $v_\perp$ and $v_\parallel$, respectively, in such a way that its 4-velocity u^α – satisfying the Lorentz law of force $m_q u^\beta\nabla_\beta u^\alpha = qF^\alpha_{\ \beta}u^\beta$ – is given by Eq. (4) [23]. In the usual cylindrical coordinates, (t, z, r, ϕ) with the z axis aligned with the 3-acceleration of the Rindler observers, the Minkowski line element is $ds^2 = dt^2 - dz^2 - dr^2 - r^2d\phi^2$, $u^\alpha = \gamma\,(\cosh(a\lambda), \sinh(a\lambda), 0, \Omega)$, and

$$F_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & E^z & 0 & 0 \\ -E^z & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -rB^z \\ 0 & 0 & rB^z & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{18}$$

A prototype experimental apparatus is shown in Fig. 1, where a sub-picosecond charged bunch containing $\sim 10^7$ electrons [24] is injected in a cylinder containing E^z and B^z. The radiation released near the center (where the charges are assumed to make the U turn) through an open window of length L is collected by detectors set

on a sphere with radius $R_S \gg L$. Since the charges emit radiation at typical wavelengths $\lambda \sim 1/a_{\rm tot}$, where $a_{\rm tot} = \gamma^2\sqrt{a^2+R^2\Omega^4}$ is the charge *total* proper acceleration, we should require $a_{\rm tot} \sim 1/\lambda \gg 1/L \approx 10^{17}$ m/s$^2 \times (1\text{ m}/L)$ in order to avoid finite-size effects coming from the window. We note that magnetic and electric fields $B^z \approx 10^{-1}$ T and $E^z \approx 1$ MV/m, respectively, achievable under present technology [26], produce accelerations $a \sim 10^{17}$ m/s^2 and $a_{\rm tot} \sim 10^{19}$ m/s^2, where we have assumed $R \sim 10^{-1}$ m. We also note that the radiation backreaction on the charge trajectory is negligible [27].

The relevant quantity to be measured by the inertial experimentalists is the spectral-angular distribution

$$I(\omega,\theta,\phi) \equiv \frac{d\mathcal{E}(\omega,\theta,\phi)}{d\omega\, d(\cos\theta)\, d\phi}$$

of the emitted radiation energy $\mathcal{E}$. From that, one can calculate the corresponding number of photons by

$$dN^{\rm M}_{\omega\theta\phi} = \frac{d\mathcal{E}}{\omega} = \frac{I(\omega,\theta,\phi)}{\omega} d\omega\, d(\cos\theta)\, d\phi, \qquad (19)$$

which leads to the $k_\perp$-distribution of radiated photons

$$\frac{dN^{\rm M}_{k_\perp}}{dk_\perp} = k_\perp \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{dk_z}{(k_\perp^2+k_z^2)^{3/2}} I(\omega,\theta,\phi) \qquad (20)$$

(recall that $\omega^2 = k_\perp^2 + k_z^2$, $k_\perp = \omega\sin\theta$, and $d\omega\, d(\cos\theta) = \omega^{-2} k_\perp dk_z dk_\perp$). This is the quantity for which the uniformly accelerated observers can make a prediction, for, according to the Unruh effect [10], *each emission of a Minkowski photon according to inertial observers corresponds to the absorption or emission of a Rindler photon from or to the Unruh thermal bath, respectively* [29]. Therefore, the validity of the Unruh effect demands

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dN^{\rm M}_{k_\perp}}{dk_\perp} &\propto \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} \left.\frac{d\Gamma^{\rm R\,tot}_{k_\perp m}}{dk_\perp}\right|_{T=T_{\rm U}} \\ &= \frac{q^2 k_\perp}{\pi^2 a} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} \Theta(m) \Big[|K'_{im\Omega/a}(k_\perp/a)|^2 |J_m(k_\perp R)|^2 \\ &+ (R\Omega)^2 |K_{im\Omega/a}(k_\perp/a)|^2 |J'_m(k_\perp R)|^2 \Big] \cosh\left(\frac{\pi m\Omega}{a}\right). \end{aligned} \qquad (21)$$

The proportionality factor appears because the total number of photons depends on how long the experiment is run. In Fig. 2, we plot the right-hand side of Eq. (17) summed in m for different values of T. The prediction given in Eq. (21) is represented by the solid-line curve ($T = T_{\rm U}$). We must keep in mind that due to finite-size effects coming from the window, greater experimental care must be taken in the region $k_\perp \lesssim 1/L$.

Virtual confirmation of the Unruh effect: Rather than waiting for experimentalists to confirm the prediction (21), here we perform a classical-electrodynamics calculation to prove it – to the extent one trusts classical electromagnetism. The spectral-angular distribution $I(\omega,\theta,\phi)$ is expressed in terms of the angular distribution of the radiated electric field $\vec{E}_{\rm rad}(t,\theta,\phi)$ [28]:

$$I(\omega,\theta,\phi) = \frac{R_S^2}{\pi} \left| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dt\ \vec{E}_{rad}(t,\theta,\phi) e^{-i\omega t} \right|^2. \qquad (22)$$

For our accelerated point-like charge, Eq. (22) can be written as (see, e.g., Ref. [28])

$$I(\omega,\theta,\phi) = \frac{q^2\omega^2}{4\pi^2} \left|\vec{F}(\omega,\theta,\phi)\right|^2, \qquad (23)$$

with

$$\vec{F}(\omega,\theta,\phi) = \hat{r} \times \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\lambda \frac{d\vec{r}_q}{d\lambda} f(\lambda), \qquad (24)$$

where $\vec{r}_q(\lambda) = R\cos(\Omega\lambda)\hat{\rm i} + R\sin(\Omega\lambda)\hat{\rm j} + a^{-1}\cosh(a\lambda)\hat{\rm k}$ is the charge trajectory ($\{\hat{\rm i},\hat{\rm j},\hat{\rm k}\}$ being the usual Cartesian versors), $\hat{r}$ gives the observation direction, and $f(\lambda) \equiv \exp\left[-i\omega\left(\hat{r}\cdot\vec{r}_q(\lambda) - a^{-1}\sinh(a\lambda)\right)\right]$. The integrals in Eq. (24) can be solved by using

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^\infty d\zeta\, \zeta^{-im\Omega/a+\alpha-1} \exp\left[(i\omega/(2a))\,(\Xi_-\zeta - \Xi_+/\zeta)\right] \\ &= 2i^\alpha \exp\left[\pi m\Omega/(2a)\right] \left[\tan(\theta/2)\right]^{-(im\Omega/a-\alpha)/2} \\ &\times K_{im\Omega/a-\alpha}\left(\omega\sin\theta/a\right) \end{aligned} \qquad (25)$$

for $\alpha = 0, \pm 1$ and $\Xi_\pm \equiv 1 \pm \cos\theta$ [30], combined with relations [32]

$$\begin{aligned}&\cos(\phi-\Omega\lambda)\exp\left[-ik_\perp R\cos(\phi-\Omega\lambda)\right] \\ &= -\sum_m i^{m+1} J'_m(-k_\perp R)\exp\left[im(\phi-\Omega\lambda)\right] \end{aligned} \qquad (26)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&Rk_\perp \sin(\phi-\Omega\lambda)\exp\left[-ik_\perp R\cos(\phi-\Omega\lambda)\right] \\ &= \sum_m m i^m J_m(-k_\perp R)\exp\left[im(\phi-\Omega\lambda)\right], \end{aligned} \qquad (27)$$



FIG. 2: For the sake of illustration, we plot Eq. (17) summed in m for different values of T assuming $E^z = 1$ MV/m, $B^z = 10^{-1}$ T, $R = 10^{-1}$ m, and injection energy 3.5 MeV. The right-hand side of Eq. (21) corresponds to the solid-line curve.

where, again, "$'$" means derivative with respect to the argument. Then, by using Eq. (23) into Eq. (19), we obtain

$$\frac{d^2N^{\rm M}_{k_\perp k_z}}{dk_\perp dk_z} = \frac{2q^2k_\perp}{\pi a^2\omega}\sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty}\exp(\pi m\Omega/a) \times(|J_m(k_\perp R)|^2|K'_{im\Omega/a}\left(k_\perp/a\right)|^2 +(R\Omega)^2|J'_m(k_\perp R)|^2|K_{im\Omega/a}\left(k_\perp/a\right)|^2). \quad (28)$$

Finally, by integrating it in k_z and performing the redefinition $k_z \to \kappa_z \equiv k_z/k_\perp$, we obtain

$$\frac{dN^{\rm M}_{k_\perp}}{dk_\perp} = \left(\frac{4\pi}{a}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\frac{d\kappa_z}{(1+\kappa_z^2)^{1/2}}\right)\sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty}\frac{d\Gamma^{\rm R\,tot}_{k_\perp m}}{dk_\perp}\Bigg|_{T=T_{\rm U}}. \quad (29)$$

This concludes our proof of Eq. (21). The fact that the term inside the parenthesis diverges is because the calculation above was carried out assuming a charge accelerating from past to future infinity, in which case an infinite number of photons is emitted for fixed $k_\perp$ element. Of course, in real experiments no divergence appears.

Double check: As a double confirmation of Eq. (21), we recover the same result of the previous section using plain quantum field theory in the inertial frame. In this case, the physical modes of the electromagnetic field in cylindrical coordinates (t, z, r, ϕ) are

$$A_\alpha^{(I,m,k_\perp,k_z)} = k_\perp^{-1}\left(k_z g_{mk_\perp k_z}, 0, 0, -\omega g_{mk_\perp k_z}\right), \quad (30)$$

$$A_\alpha^{(II,m,k_\perp,k_z)} = k_\perp^{-1}\left(0, -mg_{mk_\perp k_z}/r, -ir\partial_r g_{mk_\perp k_z}, 0\right), \quad (31)$$

where $g_{mk_\perp k_z} \equiv (8\pi^2\omega)^{-1/2}J_m\left(k_\perp r\right)e^{im\phi}e^{ik_z z}e^{-i\omega t}$. The $k_\perp$-distribution of Minkowski photons per fixed m (per Rindler-observers' proper time interval) is

$$\frac{1}{k_\perp}\frac{d\Gamma^{\rm M\,em}_{k_\perp m}}{dk_\perp} = \frac{k_\perp^{-1}}{\Delta\tau_{\rm R}}\frac{dN^{\rm M}_{k_\perp m}}{dk_\perp} = \sum_{\epsilon=I}^{II}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}dk_z\frac{|\mathcal{A}^{\rm M\,em}_{(j)}|^2}{\Delta\tau_{\rm R}}, \quad (32)$$

where $|\mathcal{A}^{\rm M\,em}_{(j)}| = \left|\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}j^\alpha\,\langle 0_{\rm M}|\hat{A}_\alpha|j\rangle\right|$, j^α is given in Eq. (5), $(j) = (\epsilon, m, k_\perp, k_z)$, $\epsilon = I, II$, and here the field operator is expanded in terms of inertial normal modes (30)-(31):

$$\hat{A}_\alpha = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty}\int_0^\infty dk_\perp k_\perp\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}dk_z\sum_{\epsilon=I,II}\left\{\hat{a}_{(j)}A^{(j)}_\alpha + \text{H.c.}\right\}.$$

We note that Eq. (32) [in contrast to Eqs. (15)-(16)] does not carry any thermal factor because the Minkowski vacuum is a no-particle state according to inertial observers. Then, from Eq. (32) we obtain explicitly

$$\frac{dN^{\rm M}_{k_\perp}}{dk_\perp} = \frac{\Delta\tau_{\rm R}\,q^2k_\perp}{2\pi^2 a}\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty}\exp(\pi m\Omega/a) \times(|J_m\left(k_\perp R\right)|^2|K'_{im\Omega/a}\left(k_\perp/a\right)|^2 +R^2\Omega^2|J'_m(k_\perp R)|^2|K_{im\Omega/a}\left(k_\perp/a\right)|^2). \quad (33)$$

It is easy to see that Eq. (33) is in agreement with Eq. (21) and constitutes an inertial quantum field double check that Rindler-observers' prediction, $T = T_{\rm U}$, is indeed correct.

Conclusions: We have proposed a simple experiment where the presence of the Unruh thermal bath is codified in the Larmor radiation emitted from an accelerated charge. Then, we carried out a straightforward classical-electrodynamics calculation (checked by a quantum-field-theory one) to confirm it by ourselves. Unless one challenges *classical* electrodynamics, our results must be virtually considered as an observation of the Unruh effect.

Acknowledgments

Acknowledgments: We are grateful to A. J. Roque da Silva and the Microtron group at the University of São Paulo for explanations on electron accelerators. G. M. is indebted to A. Higuchi for various discussions. G. C. and A. L., G. M., D. V. were fully and partially supported by São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP) under Grants 2016/08025-0 and 2014/26307-8, 2015/22482-2, 2013/12165-4, respectively. G. M. was also partially supported by Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq).

[1] W. G. Unruh, "Notes on black hole evaporation," Phys. Rev. D **14**, 870 (1976).

[2] Actually, the Unruh effect was communicated one year earlier in the 1st Marcel Grossmann meeting at Trieste but the corresponding proceedings only appeared in 1977 [3].

[3] W. G. Unruh, "Particle detector and black holes," in *Proceedings of the 1st Marcel Grossmann meeting on General Relativity*, (North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam, 1977).

[4] S. A. Fulling, "Nonuniqueness canonical field quantization in Riemaninan space-time," Phys. Rev. D **7**, 2850 (1973).

[5] P. C. W. Davies, "Scalar particle production in Schwarzschild and Rindler metrics," J. Phys. A: Gen. Phys. **8**, 609 (1975).

[6] One could see "accel. temp." listed among the four issues "to learn" at Richard Feynman's blackboard by the time he passed away in 1988 (see Fig. 1 of Ref. [7]).

[7] L. C. B. Crispino, A. Higuchi, and G. E. A. Matsas, "The Unruh effect and its applications," Rev. Mod. Phys. **80**, 787 (2008).

[8] J. J. Bisognano and E. H. Wichmann, "On the duality condition for quantum fields," J. Math. Phys. **17**, 303 (1976).

[9] G. L. Sewell, "Quantum fields on manifolds: PCT and gravitationally induced thermal states," Ann. Phys. **141**, 201 (1982).

[10] W. Unruh and R. M. Wald, "What happens when an accelerating observer detects a Rindler particle," Phys. Rev. D **29**, 1047 (1984).

[11] S. A. Fulling and G. E. A. Matsas, "Unruh effect," Scholarpedia, 9(10):31789 (2014).

[12] J. S. Bell and J. M. Leinaas, "Electrons as accelerated thermometers," Nucl. Phys. B **212**, 131 (1983).

[13] R. Müller, "Decay of accelerated particles," Phys. Rev. D **56**, 953 (1997).

[14] D. A. T. Vanzella and G. E. A. Matsas, "Decay of accelerated protons and the existence of the Fulling-Davies-Unruh effect," Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 151301 (2001).

[15] H. Suzuki and K. Yamada, "Analytic evaluation of the decay rate for an accelerated proton," Phys. Rev. D **67**, 065002 (2003).

[16] D. A. T. Vanzella and G. E. A. Matsas "Weak decay of uniformly accelerated protons and related processes," Phys. Rev. D **63**, 014010 (2001).

[17] P. Chen and T. Tajima, "Testing Unruh Radiation with Ultraintense Lasers," Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 256 (1999).

[18] N. Oshita, K. Yamamoto, and S. Zhang, "Quantum radiation produced by a uniformly accelerating charged particle in thermal random motion," Phys. Rev. D **93**, 085016 (2016).

[19] R. Schutzhold, G. Schaller, and D. Habs, "Signatures of the Unruh effect from electrons accelerated by ultrastrong laser fields," Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 121302 (2006).

[20] A. Higuchi, G. E. A. Matsas, and D. Sudarsky, "Bremsstrahlung and zero-energy Rindler photons," Phys. Rev. D **45**, R3308 (1992).

[21] A. Higuchi and G. E. A. Matsas, "Fulling-Davies-Unruh effect in classical field theory," Phys. Rev. D **48**, 689 (1993).

[22] S. Cruz y Cruz and B. Mielnik, "Non-inertial quantization: Truth or illusion," Journal of Physics: Conference Series **698**, 012002 (2016).

[23] Setting $Z \equiv z - a^{-1} = 0$ at the point where the charge makes the "U turn" in the longitudinal direction (z) and adopting orientation such that $E^z < 0$, the injection velocity components are given by $v_\perp = \Omega R/(1 + aZ_i)$ and $v_\parallel = -\sqrt{a^2 Z_i^2 + 2aZ_i}/(1 + aZ_i)$, where $Z_i > 0$ is the position where the injection occurs.

[24] X. J. Wang, *Producing and measuring small electron bunches* in Proceedings of the 1999 particle accelerator conference (IEEE, N.Y., 1999).

[25] For wavelengths λ larger than the bunch size, interference plays an important role and the whole set of charges acts collectively as a single one with magnitude q equal to the sum of all charges. This magnifies the emitted power which scales as q^2.

[26] T. P. Wangler, *RF Linear Accelerators*, 2nd edition (Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2008).

[27] Using Larmor formula, we see that for these parameters, the charged bunch will emit $\sim 10 \times L/(1\text{ m})$ GeV, which is small even when compared to the fraction of the total kinetic energy carried in the form of rotational energy of the bunch, $\sim 10^4$ GeV.

[28] A. Zangwill, *Modern Electrodynamics* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012).

[29] We note, in addition, that due to angular momentum conservation, the absorption of a photon with $m > 0$ by the charge as seen by accelerated observers corresponds to the emission of a photon with $m < 0$ according to inertial ones.

[30] This expression can be obtained from Eq. 8.432.7 of Ref. [31] after the substitution $e^{a\lambda} \to \zeta$ and "rotation" to the positive imaginary axis.

[31] I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik, *Table of Integrals, Series and Products* (Academic Press, New York, 1980).

[32] These identities are obtained by properly differentiating Eq. 8.511.4 of Ref. [31] (after the substitution $z \to -\omega R \sin\theta$ and $\varphi \to \phi - \Omega\lambda$).